



TITLE:

# Ergodic Theory of Diffeomorphisms (Symposium on Dynamical Systems)

AUTHOR(S):

RUELLE, D.

---

CITATION:

RUELLE, D.. Ergodic Theory of Diffeomorphisms (Symposium on Dynamical Systems). 数理解析研究所講究録 1978, 331: 51-61

ISSUE DATE:

1978-08

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/104149>

RIGHT:

Ergodic theory of diffeomorphisms

D. Ruelle

(I.H.E.S.)

1. A "almost everywhere" stable manifold theorem

Theorem. Let  $M$  be a compact differentiable manifold and  
 $f : M \rightarrow M$  a diffeomorphism of class  $C^{r,\theta}$  ( $r$  integer  $\geq 1$ ,  
 $\theta \in (0,1]$ ). Let  $d$  be a Riemann metric on  $M$ .

There is a Borel set  $\Gamma \subset M$  with the following properties

(a)  $f\Gamma \subset \Gamma$  and  $\sigma(\Gamma) = 1$  for every  $f$ -invariant proba-  
bility measure  $\sigma$  on  $M$

(b) Let  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $\lambda_x^{(1)} < \dots < \lambda_x^{(r)}$  be the strictly  
negative characteristic exponents of  $Tf$ . Define  $\gamma_x^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset \gamma_x^{(r)}$   
by

$$\gamma_x^{(p)} = \{y \in M : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \lambda_x^{(p)}\}$$

for  $p = 1, \dots, r$ . Then  $\gamma_x^{(p)}$  is the image of  $V_x^{(p)}$  by an  
injective  $C^{r,\theta}$  immersion tangent to the identity at  $x$ .

- Characteristic exponents will be explained later.

- This is an "almost everywhere" stable manifold theorem,  
 where several stable manifolds with different rates of convergence  
 may be present at a point.

Corollary. If  $\rho$  is ergodic and all the characteristic  
exponents of  $Tf$  are  $< 0$  a.e., then  $\rho$  is carried by an  
attracting periodic orbit.

- The proof of the theorem can be reduced to proving the existence of local stable manifolds.

- Using exponential maps, the local theorem can be formulated as a theorem about invariant manifolds for a non linear vector bundle map  $T$  over  $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ . The differentiability of  $T_x : E_x \rightarrow E_{\tau x}$  is used, but  $\tau : M \rightarrow M$  is just assumed to be a measure preserving transformation.

- In particular, one can take the vector bundle to be trivial, i.e. one studies the ergodic properties of nonlinear maps  $F_x$ ,  $x \in M$ , such that  $F_x$  maps the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , into  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $F_x 0 = 0$ .

- The linear version of this problem is the multiplicative ergodic theorem which we have to study first.

## 2. The multiplicative ergodic theorem.

Let  $(M, \Sigma, \rho)$  be a fixed probability space, and  $\tau : M \rightarrow M$  a measurable map preserving  $\rho$ . We denote by  $f^+$  the positive part of a real function  $f$ .

Theorem. Let  $T : M \rightarrow M_m$  be a measurable function to the real  $m \times m$  matrices such that

$$\log^+ \|T(\cdot)\| \in L^1(M, \rho)$$

and write  $T_x^n = T(\tau^{n-1}x) \dots T(\tau x)T(x)$ .

There is  $\Gamma \subset M$  such that  $\tau\Gamma \subset \Gamma$  and  $\rho(\Gamma) = 1$ . Furthermore,  
if  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|T_x^n u\| = \chi(x, u)$$

exists, finite or  $-\infty$ .

The values of  $\chi(x, u)$  for  $u \neq 0$  are called characteristic exponents. Notice that

$$V_x^\lambda = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^m : \chi(x, u) \leq \lambda\}$$

is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Complement.

Let  $*$  denote matrix transposition. One may take  $\Gamma$  such that, if  $x \in \Gamma$ ,

$$(a) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_x^{n*} T_x^n)^{1/2n} = \Lambda_x \quad \text{exists}$$

(b) the characteristic exponents  $\lambda_x^{(r)}$  are the log's of the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda_x$ . The space  $V_x^\lambda$  is the sum of the eigenspaces  $U_x^{(r)}$  of  $\Lambda_x$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\leq \lambda$ . The functions  $x \mapsto \lambda_x^{(r)}$ ,  $x \mapsto m_x^{(r)} = \dim U_x^{(r)}$  are  $\tau$ -invariant.

The proof can be obtained in two steps.

I. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|(T_x^n)^{\wedge q}\| \quad (*)$$

exists almost everywhere (this follows from a "subadditive ergodic theorem" and insures the existence of a limit for the sum

of the largest  $q$  eigenvalues of  $(T_x^{n*} T_x^n)^{1/2n}$ .

II. From

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|T(\tau^n x)\| \leq 0 \quad (**)$$

and (\*) for  $q = 1, \dots, m$  one obtains without further assumption the existence of the limits asserted by the multiplicative ergodic theorem.

### 3. Proof of a local stable manifold theorem

To prove the desired nonlinear version of the multiplicative ergodic theorem, we put

$$F_x^n = F_{\tau^{n-1}x} \circ \dots \circ F_{\tau x} \circ F_x$$

and assume that

$$\int \rho(dx) \log^+ \|F_x\|_{r,\theta} < +\infty.$$

We want to prove the existence of a measurable set  $\Gamma \subset M$  with  $\tau\Gamma \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\rho(\Gamma) = 1$ , and measurable functions  $\beta > \alpha > 0$  on  $\Gamma$  such that if  $x \in \Gamma$ , and  $\lambda < 0$  is not a characteristic exponent of  $T = Tf$  at  $x$ ,

$$D_x = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^m: \|u\| \leq \alpha(x), \|F_x^n u\| \leq \beta(x)e^{n\lambda} \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$$

is a  $C^{r,\theta}$  submanifold of the ball  $\|u\| \leq \alpha(x)$ , tangent at 0

to  $V_x^\lambda$ .

If  $F_x$  is replaced by its linear part  $T(x) = T_x f$ , this follows from the multiplicative ergodic theorem. The idea of the proof of the nonlinear theorem is to consider  $F_x$  as a perturbation of  $T(x)$ . If  $u \in D_x$ ,  $F_x^n u$  tends exponentially fast (with  $n$ ) to 0, therefore the deviation of  $F_{\tau^n x}$  from  $T(\tau^n x)$ , at the relevant point  $F_x^n u$ , goes exponentially to zero. The heart of the proof reduces thus to the following fact.

If  $(T'_x)$  is a sequence of  $n \times n$  matrices and

$$\sup_n \|T'_n - T(\tau^{n-1} x)\| e^{n\eta}$$

is sufficiently small (for some  $\eta > 0$ , and  $T(\tau^{n-1} x)$  such that the limits (\*) exist and (\*\*) holds), then, if we write

$$T'^n = T'_n \cdots T'_1$$

the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T'^n * T^n)^{1/2n} = \Lambda'_x$$

exists and has the same eigenvalues (including multiplicity) as  $\Lambda_x$ . The eigenspaces depend continuously on the perturbation.

#### 4. Abstract results about matrix products

1. Theorem. Let  $T = (T_n)_{n>0}$  be a sequence of real  $m \times m$

matrices such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|T_n\| \leq 0$$

We write  $T^n = T_n \cdots T_2 \cdot T_1$  and assume that the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|(T^n)^{\wedge q}\|$$

exist for  $q = 1, \dots, m$ .

$$(a) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T^{n*} T^n)^{1/2n} = \Lambda$$

exists, where  $*$  denotes matrix transposition.

(b) Let  $\exp \lambda^{(1)} < \dots < \exp \lambda^{(s)}$  be the eigenvalues of  
 $\Lambda$  [real  $\lambda^{(r)}$ , possibly  $\lambda^{(1)} = -\infty$ ], and  $U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(s)}$  the  
corresponding eigenspaces. Writing  $V^{(0)} = \{0\}$  and  $V^{(r)} =$   
 $U^{(1)} + \dots + U^{(r)}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|T^n u\| = \lambda^{(r)} \quad \text{when } u \in V^{(r)} \setminus V^{(r-1)} \quad \text{for}$$

$r = 1, \dots, s$ .

① The eigenvalues of  $(T^{n*} T^n)^{1/2n}$  send to limits

$$\lambda^{(1)} < \dots < \lambda^{(s)}$$

- Let  $U_n^{(r)}$  be the space spanned by the eigenvectors of  $(T^{n*} T^n)^{1/2n}$  corresponding to eigenvalues sending to  $\lambda^{(r)}$ .

② Lemma. Given  $\delta > 0 \exists K > 0$  s.t., for all  $k > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{|(u, u')| : u \in U_n^{(r)}, u' \in U_{n+k}^{(r')}, \|u\| = \|u'\| = 1\} \\ & \leq K \exp[-n(|\lambda^{(r')} - \lambda^{(r)}| - \delta)] \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently: if  $\lambda^{(r)} = \lambda$ ,  $\lambda^{(r')} = \lambda'$ ,  $U_n^{(r)} = U_n$ ,  $U_n^{(r')} = U'_n$ ,  
if  $v$  is the orthogonal projection in  $U'_{n+k}$  of  $u \in U_n$ , then

$$\|v\| \leq K \|u\| \exp[-n(|\lambda' - \lambda| - \delta)]$$

- If  $\lambda < \lambda'$ ,  $k = 1$ , then for large  $n$

$$\|v\| \exp[(n+1)(\lambda' - \frac{\delta}{4})] \leq \|T^{n+1}u\|$$

$$\leq \|T_{n+1}\| \|T^n u\| \leq \exp[C + (n+1)\frac{\delta}{2}] \cdot \|u\| \exp[n(\lambda + \frac{\delta}{4})]$$

$$\Rightarrow \|v\| \leq \exp[C - \lambda' + \frac{3}{4}\delta] \cdot \exp[-n(\lambda' - \lambda - \delta)] \cdot \|u\|$$

- Induction on  $k$  ( $\lambda < \lambda'$ )

- Orthogonality

③  $(U_n^{(r)})_{n>0}$  is Cauchy  $\Rightarrow$  (a)  $U_n^{(r)} \rightarrow U^{(r)}$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{|(u, u')| : u \in U^{(r)}, u' \in U_n^{(r')}, \|u\| = \|u'\| = 1\}$$

$$\leq K \exp[-n(|\lambda^{(r')} - \lambda^{(r)}| - \delta)]$$

$\Rightarrow$  (b)



2. Theorem. Let the notation and assumptions be as in theorem 1. Furthermore, assume that  $\det \Lambda \neq 0$ .

Let  $\eta > 0$  be given and, for  $T' = (T'_n)_{n>0}$ , write

$$\|T' - T\| = \sup_n \|T'_n - T_n\| e^{3n\eta}$$

and  $T'^n = T'_n \cdots T'_2 \cdot T'_1$ . Then there are  $\delta, A > 0$  and, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $B_\epsilon > 0$ ,  $B'_\epsilon > 1$  with the following properties.  
If  $\|T' - T\| < \delta$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T'^n T_n)^{1/2n} = \Lambda' \quad (1)$$

exists and has the same eigenvalues as  $\Lambda$  (including multiplicity).  
Furthermore, if  $P^{(r)}(T')$  denotes the orthogonal projection of  $\Lambda'$  corresponding to  $\exp \lambda^{(r)}$ , and  $\|T'' - T\| < \delta$ , we have

$$\|P^{(r)}(T') - P^{(r)}(T'')\| \leq A \|T' - T''\| \quad (2)$$

$$B_\epsilon \exp n(\lambda^{(r)} - \epsilon) \leq \|T'^n P^{(r)}(T')\| \leq B'_\epsilon \exp n(\lambda^{(r)} + \epsilon) \quad (3)$$

① To prove the existence of (1) and spectrum  $\Lambda = \text{spectrum } \Lambda'$ , it suffices to show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|(T'^n)^{\wedge q}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|(T^n)^{\wedge q}\|$$

In fact it suffices to do this for  $q = 1$ . Equivalently, it suffices to find an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|T'^n u\| = \lambda^{(s)} \quad \text{for } u \in U$$

This results from the following

② Lemma. Let  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  diagonalizing  $\Lambda$ , with  $\xi_m$  corresponding to the largest eigen-  
value  $\exp \lambda^{(s)}$ . There is then  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|T'^n u\| = \lambda^{(s)}$$

whenever  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\|T' - T\| \leq \delta \alpha$ , and  $u \in U$ , where

$$U = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} u_k \frac{\xi_k}{\alpha} + u_m \xi_m : \max_{k < m} |u_k| < |u_m| \right\}$$

③ The lemma implies  $\|P^{(r)}(T') - P^{(r)}(T)\| \leq A \|T' - T\|$

④ Proof of the lemma ( $\alpha = 1$  for simplicity).

Let  $\xi_k^{(n)}$ : unit vector  $\sim T^n \xi_k$ , and  $\xi^{(n)}$  the matrix with columns  $\xi_k^{(n)}$ . Then  $\|\xi_k^{(n)}\| < \sqrt{m}$  and

$$D_\varepsilon = \sup_n e^{-n\varepsilon} \|\xi^{(n)-1}\| < +\infty \quad \text{if } \varepsilon > 0$$

$$T_n \xi_k^{(n-1)} = t_k^{(n)} \xi_k^{(n)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \prod_{j=1}^n t_k^{(j)} = \lambda^{(r(k))}$$

where we may assume  $r(k)$  increasing with  $k$ .

- For any  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , let  $T'^n u = \sum_k u_k^{(n)} \xi_k^{(n)}$

Let  $\mu$  be the smallest integer such that

$$(\forall n) \quad \max_{j \leq \mu} |u_j^{(n)}| \geq \max_{k > \mu} |u_k^{(n)}|$$

Assuming  $\|T'-T\| \leq \delta$ , we estimate the  $u_k^{(n)}$  recursively

$$- \quad |u_k^{(n)}| \leq t_k^{(n)} |u_k^{(n-1)}| + D\delta e^{-2n\eta} \sum_{\ell} |u_{\ell}^{(n-1)}|$$

Replace the  $t_k^{(n)}$  by  $t_k^{(n)*}$  so that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N \log t_k^{(n)*} = \lambda(r(\mu)) \quad \text{for } k \leq \mu$$

$$t_{\mu}^{(n)*} = t_{\mu}^{(n)}$$

Choose  $C$  such that (for all  $v \geq 0$ ,  $N > v$ ,  $k, \ell \leq \mu$ )

$$\prod_{n=v+1}^{N-1} t_{\ell}^{(n)*} / \prod_{n=v+1}^N t_k^{(n)*} \leq C e^{N\eta}$$

Then, if  $U^{(v)} = \max_{\ell} |u_{\ell}^{(v)}|$ ,

$$|u_k^{(n)}| \leq \prod_{n=v+1}^N t_k^{(n)*} \cdot \prod_{n=v+1}^N (1 + mCD\delta e^{-n\eta}) U^{(v)}$$

Choosing  $\delta = \frac{1}{mCD} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-n\eta})^2$  yields, for  $N > v$

$$\boxed{|u_k^{(N)}| \leq C' \prod_{n=v+1}^N t_k^{(n)*} \cdot \prod_{n=v+1}^N (1 - e^{-n\eta}) \cdot U^{(v)}} \quad (4)$$

with  $C' \leq \frac{1}{mCD\delta}$

- Choose  $v$  so that  $|u_{\mu}^{(v)}| = \max_k |u_k^{(v)}| = U^{(v)}$ , then

$$\boxed{|u_{\mu}^{(N)}| \geq \prod_{n=v+1}^N t_{\mu}^{(n)} \cdot \prod_{n=v+1}^N (1 - e^{-n\eta}) \cdot U^{(v)}} \quad (5)$$

$$- \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|T^n u\| = \lambda^{(r(\mu))} \Rightarrow \text{lemma } (r(\mu)=s).$$

⑤ (2) and (3) be obtained from (4) and (5).

For instance the second half of (3) follows from (4).